UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,393	05/19/2006	Wendelin Egli	2779300111USPX	9033
61060 7590 03/27/2009 WINSTEAD PC		9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 50784			PATEL, TAJASH D	
DALLAS, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/575,393	EGLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tejash D. Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceptable.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/575,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, on line 23, the term "the inside" and on line 28, "the circumferential tension" is indefinite since it lacks proper antecedent basis. Also, on lines 27-28 of claim 1, "any significant change" is indefinite since it does not positively limit the metes and bounds of patent protection as desired.

Further, in claim 1, both recitations on lines 18-20 and 29-31 are substantial duplicates and indefinite. It is suggested that one of the similar recitation be deleted. Correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 4-10. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the multiple dependent claims should be amended in present in proper form.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

4. Claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and multiple dependent claim objection, set forth in this

Office action.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The

examiner's supervisor Mr. Gary Welch can be reached at (571) 272-4996. The fax

phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

March 20, 2009

/Tejash Patel/ Primary Examiner